arXiv:1407.6046v1 [math.GR] 22 Jul 2014

Base Size Sets and Determining Sets

Joshua D. Laison, Erin M. McNicholas
Mathematics Department
Willamette University
900 State St.
Salem, OR 97301

Nicole Seaders
Department of Mathematics
Oregon State University
368 Kidder
Corvallis, OR 97331

July 24, 2014

Abstract

Bridging the work of Cameron, Harary, and others, we examine the base size set $\mathcal{B}(G)$ and determining set $\mathcal{D}(G)$ of several families of groups. The base size set is the set of base sizes of all faithful actions of the group G on finite sets. The determining set is the subset of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ obtained by restricting the actions of G to automorphism groups of finite graphs. We show that for fininte abelian groups, $\mathcal{B}(G) = \mathcal{D}(G) = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ where k is the number of elementary divisors of G. We then characterize $\mathcal{B}(G)$ and $\mathcal{D}(G)$ for dihedral groups of the form D_{p^k} and D_{2p^k}. Finally, we prove $\mathcal{B}(G) \neq \mathcal{D}(G)$ for dihedral groups of the form D_{pq} where p and q are distinct odd primes.

1 Introduction

Following [1], a *base* for a permutation group P acting faithfully on a finite set S is a subset $B \subseteq S$ chosen so that its pointwise stabilizer in P is trivial, i.e. $\{g \in P \mid g(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in B\}$ contains only the identity. The *base size* of the action of P on S, $b(P)$, is the cardinality of the smallest base for P in this action. Bailey and Cameron recently surveyed research on base size, noting the parameter has been extensively studied and has arisen in many "different guises"[1]. Research has focused on bounding the base size of families of permutation groups, such as primitive groups [11, 13, 14] and almost simple groups [4, 5, 12]. Authors have also studied base sizes of actions of S_n on subsets of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ [2, 6, 10].

By realizing a group G as the image G_P of some faithful action on a finite set S, we can view the elements of G as permutations of S expressed in cycle notation. We can then determine the base size of this particular permutation

representation of G. Note, base size is a parameter of the permutation representation G_P, and not an invariant of the group G. Further, by $g \in G$ viewed as a permutation, we mean the image of g under the action, i.e. $g \in G_P$. With this terminology in mind, we define the *base size set* of G, $\mathcal{B}(G)$, as the set of all base sizes of all faithful actions of G on finite sets. Symbolically

$$\mathcal{B}(G) = \{b(G_P) | G_P \in \mathcal{A}(G)\},$$

where $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is the set of all faithful actions of G on finite sets.

We can restrict this definition to certain types of actions: let $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be the set of all faithful actions of G realized as the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ of some finite graph Γ. In this case $S = V(\Gamma)$, the set of vertices of Γ. The *determining set* or *fixing set* $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is the set of all base sizes of actions in $\mathcal{A}'$. Gibbons and Laison introduced $\mathcal{D}(G)$ in 2009 [9]. They characterized the determining sets of finite abelian groups, and provided upper and lower bounds on the determining sets of symmetric groups. For a given graph Γ, the base size of its automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is called the *determining number* or *fixing number* of Γ (so the determining number of Γ is one element of the determining set of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$). Determining numbers were first introduced by Boutin and independently by Erwin and Harary in 2006 [2, 8]. Boutin and Cáceres et. al. found determining numbers of families of Kneser graphs [2, 6], and Boutin found the determining number of a Cartesian product of graphs [3].

Note that by definition, $\mathcal{D}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(G)$. We show that for finite abelian groups and for some dihedral groups these sets are the same. Our main result is that there exist groups G for which $\mathcal{D}(G) \neq \mathcal{B}(G)$.

2 Basic Properties of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ and $\mathcal{D}(G)$

Given a permutation group P, if we stabilize each element of a base B in sequence, we get a chain of subgroups $P > \mathrm{stab}(b_1) > \mathrm{stab}(b_1, b_2) > \ldots > e$, where $\mathrm{stab}(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k)$ is the pointwise stabilizer of the elements $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k$. The number of subgroups in this chain is no greater than the *length* of P, i.e. the size of the longest chain of subgroups of P (counting P but not e). The length of P is in turn at most the number of prime factors of $|P|$ (counting multiplicities). Therefore for any finite group G, $\mathcal{B}(G)$ and $\mathcal{D}(G)$ are both contained in the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, l\}$, where l is the length of G. Since the length of a finite group G is bounded by the number of prime factors of $|G|$, every finite group has finite base size set and finite determining set.

The following are slight generalizations of Lemma 11 and Lemma 18 in [9].

Lemma 1. *Suppose G is a finite group acting faithfully on a set S, and $g \in G$ is an element of order p^k, for p prime and k a positive integer. Then there exists a set of p^k elements $x_1, \ldots, x_{p^k}$ in S such that, as a permutation of the elements of S, g contains the cycle $(x_1 \ldots x_{p^k})$.*

Proof. Since g has order p^k, as a permutation of S the cycle decomposition of g must include a cycle of length p^k. Label these elements $x_1, \ldots, x_{p^k}$. □

Corollary 2. *Suppose G is a finite group and $g \in G$ is an element of order p^k, for p prime and k a positive integer. Let j be the number of prime factors of $|G|/p^k$, counting multiplicities. Then the largest element in $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is at most $j+1$.*

Proof. Suppose G is acting on a finite set S, and define g and x_1 as in Lemma 1. By Lemma 1, the orbit of x_1 has at least p^k elements. By the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem, $\mathrm{stab}(x_1)$ has at most $|G|/p^k$ elements. If B is a base of the induced action of $\mathrm{stab}(x_1)$ on S, then $B \cup \{x_1\}$ is a base of the action of G on S. Since the base size of $\mathrm{stab}(x_1)$ acting on S is at most j, the base size of G acting on S is at most $j+1$. Since S is arbitrary, all base sizes of G acting on any set are at most $j+1$. □

3 Base size sets and determining sets of finite abelian groups

In this section we characterize $\mathcal{B}(G)$ for all finite abelian groups, generalizing the analogous characterization of $\mathcal{D}(G)$ in [9]. By the Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups, a finite abelian group G can be expressed as

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_n^{\alpha_n}},$$

where the numbers $p_i^{\alpha_i}$ are called the elementary divisors of G.

Theorem 3. *Given a finite abelian group G with n elementary divisors, $\mathcal{B}(G) = \mathcal{D}(G) = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.*

Proof. We first prove that $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is contained in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Consider the base case $n = 1$, i.e. $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$. By Corollary 2, $\mathcal{B}(G) = \{1\}$.

Now suppose by way of induction that for all $k < n$, the largest element in the base size set of a finite abelian group with k elementary divisors is

less than or equal to k, i.e. $\mathcal{B}(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Let G be a finite abelian group with n elementary divisors. Thus G can be expressed as

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_n^{\alpha_n}}.$$

For each elementary divisor, there is an element g_i of G of order $p_i^{\alpha_i}$. Let $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1}}$ be the subgroup of G generated by g_1. Under any faithful action of G, g_1 is mapped to a permutation $\phi(g_1) \in G_P$ of order $p_1^{\alpha_1}$. Given the order of $\phi(g_1)$, by Lemma 1, its disjoint cycle representation must contain a subcycle $(x_1 x_2 \ldots x_{p_1^{\alpha_1}})$. Let B be a base of the induced action of $\mathrm{stab}(x_1)$. It follows that $B \cup \{x_1\}$ is a base of the action of G, and the base size of this action is $b(G_P) \leq |B| + 1$. Since for all $1 \leq m < p_1^{\alpha_1}$, g_1^m maps x_1 to $x_{m+1} \neq x_1$, $H \cap \mathrm{stab}(x_1) = e$. Thus, by the Second and Third Isomorphism Theorems

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_n^{\alpha_n}} \cong G/H \geq \mathrm{stab}(x_1)H/H \cong \mathrm{stab}(x_1).$$

Since $\mathrm{stab}(x_1)$ has at most $n-1$ elementary divisors, by the induction hypothesis $\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{stab}(x_1)) \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $|B| \leq n-1$. Thus for any faithful action G_P, $b(G_P) \leq n$ and $\mathcal{B}(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

In [9], Gibbons and Laison proved that $\mathcal{D}(G) = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ for a finite abelian group G with n elementary divisors. Since $\mathcal{D}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(G)$, it follows that for finite abelian groups $\mathcal{B}(G) = \mathcal{D}(G) = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. □

Note that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha} > \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-1}} > \cdots > e$ is a maximum chain of subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$ and thus $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$ has length α. By Theorem 3, $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}) = \{1\}$, therefore $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$ is an example of a family of groups for which $|\mathcal{B}(G)|$ is arbitrarily far from the length of G.

4 Base size sets and determining sets of dihedral groups

In this section we characterize $\mathcal{B}(G)$ and $\mathcal{D}(G)$ for several families of dihedral groups, and find dihedral groups for which $\mathcal{D}(G) \neq \mathcal{B}(G)$. The following lemma was proved in [9].

Lemma 4. *For all positive integers $n \geq 2$, $\{1, 2\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(D_n)$.*

Proof. Every non-trivial group G is the automorphism group of a corresponding Frucht graph, with base size 1. Furthermore, the group D_n is the automorphism group of the cycle graph C_n, with base size 2. □

Proposition 5. *For any prime p and positive integer k, $\mathcal{D}(D_{p^k}) = \mathcal{B}(D_{p^k}) = \{1, 2\}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}(D_{p^k}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(D_{p^k})$, it follows by Lemma 4 and Corollary 2 that $\{1, 2\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(D_{p^k}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(D_{p^k}) \subseteq \{1, 2\}$. □

Proposition 6. *For any odd prime p, $\mathcal{D}(D_{2p^k}) = \mathcal{B}(D_{2p^k}) = \{1, 2, 3\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4 and Corollary 2, $\{1, 2\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(D_{2p^k}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(D_{2p^k}) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let Γ be the disjoint union of the path graph P_2 with two vertices and the cycle graph C_{p^k}. D_{2p^k} is the automorphism group of this graph, with base size 3. Thus $\mathcal{D}(D_{2p^k}) = \mathcal{B}(D_{2p^k}) = \{1, 2, 3\}$. □



Figure 1: Graphs having $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cong D_6$ and determining numbers 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

We conclude this section with a family of dihedral groups for which $\mathcal{B}(G) \neq \mathcal{D}(G)$. In particular, we find that for distinct odd primes p and q, 3 is an element of $\mathcal{B}(D_{pq})$ but not $\mathcal{D}(D_{pq})$.

Proposition 7. *For distinct odd primes p and q, $\mathcal{B}(D_{pq})$ contains 3.*

Proof. Consider the permutation representation of the group D_{pq} generated by the permutations

$$r = (x_1 x_2 \dots x_p)(x_{p+1} x_{p+2} \dots x_{p+q})$$

and

$$f = \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{p+1}{2}} (x_i x_{p-i}) \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}} (x_{p+j} x_{p+q-j})$$

acting on the set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p+q}\}$. We will show that this permutation group has base size 3.

Let B be an arbitrary base of this permutation representation. By the discussion at the beginning of Section 2, the size of B is bounded above by the length of D_{pq}. Thus $|B| \leq 3$. Since r^q is a p-cycle on the elements of $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p\}$, and r^p is a q-cycle on the elements of $\{x_{p+1}, x_{p+2}, \ldots, x_{p+q}\}$, every base of this permutation representation of D_{pq} must contain one element of $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p\}$ and one element of $\{x_{p+1}, x_{p+2}, \ldots, x_{p+q}\}$. Let $x_i \in \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p\}$ and $x_{p+j} \in \{x_{p+1}, x_{p+2}, \ldots, x_{p+q}\}$ be elements of B. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there exists a unique integer k between 1 and pq such that $k \equiv i \mod p$ and $k \equiv j \mod q$. Thus, since x_p and x_{p+q} are fixed points of f, $r^k f r^{-k}$ is a non-identity element in $\mathrm{stab}(x_i, x_{p+j})$, and $|B| > 2$. It follows that $|B| = 3$. Since B was an arbitrary base of the given permutation representation of D_{pq}, $3 \in \mathcal{B}(D_{pq})$.

□

For an element $v \in S$, we denote the orbit of v under the action of G by $\mathcal{O}_v$. The following lemmas are direct consequences of the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem, and will be used in proving $3 \notin \mathcal{D}(D_{pq})$.

Lemma 8. *Let G be the automorphism group of a graph Γ such that $|G| = pm$ where p is a prime that does not divide m. Let v be a vertex in Γ such that $|\mathcal{O}_v| = p$. If $g \in G$ has order p, then $\mathcal{O}_v = \{v, g(v), g^2(v), \ldots, g^{p-1}(v)\}$.*

Proof. By the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem, $|\mathrm{stab}(v)| = m$. If $g \in G$ has order p then every non-trivial power of g has order p and hence cannot be in $\mathrm{stab}(v)$. Moreover, if $g^j v = g^k v$ where $j, k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$ then $g^{j-k} \in \mathrm{stab}(v)$ and thus $j = k$. □

Lemma 9. *Given an action of G on a set S, elements of prime order q in G stabilize set elements in orbits of size less than q.*

Proof. Consider $v \in S$ with orbit of size less than q and an element $g \in G$ of order q. Note $\mathcal{O}_v$ contains the orbit of v under the action of the subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ generated by g. Since $\langle g \rangle$ has prime order, the stabilizer of v under its action is either trivial or the entire subgroup. If the stabilizer is trivial, the orbit of v under the action of $\langle g \rangle$ is larger than $\mathcal{O}_v$ and we have a contradiction. Thus the stabilizer of v contains the subgroup generated by any element of order q. □

Proposition 10. *Given a graph Γ with automorphism group D_{pq}, where p and q are distinct odd primes, every element of order 2 in D_{pq} moves vertices in every orbit of order p and every orbit of order q.*

Proof. We first note the following property of an arbitrary permutation group G_P. Let r and f be elements with distinct prime orders in G_P. Viewing these elements as products of disjoint subcycles, if there are any subcycles of r disjoint from every subcycle of f, then the element rf has order equal to a multiple of $|r|$.

Now suppose G_P is the action of D_{pq} on a graph Γ, f is an element of order 2, and r has prime order p or q. The composition rf has order 2, which is not a multiple of $|r|$. Thus, by the previous comment, every disjoint subcycle of r contains a vertex in a subcycle of f. Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 8 that there exists a corresponding subcycle of r for each orbit $\mathcal{O}_v \subseteq V(\Gamma)$ of order p (or q respectively). Thus the element f moves an element in each orbit of size p or q. □

Theorem 11. *Given distinct odd primes, p and q, the determining set of D_{pq} does not contain 3.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose $p < q$. Let Γ be a graph with $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) = D_{pq}$, and let f be an element of D_{pq} of order 2. Define $X = \{x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}, \ldots, x_{k1}, x_{k2}\}$ to be the set of vertices in Γ in orbits of size q moved by f, where f transposes x_{i1} and x_{i2}. Let h be the permutation of $V(\Gamma)$ given by $h = (x_{11}x_{12})(x_{21}x_{22})\cdots(x_{k1}x_{k2})$. We will show that if Γ has a determining number 3, then h is an element of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ of order 2. Since an orbit of size p is disjoint from any orbit of size q, h fixes orbits of size p and we arrive at a contradiction to Proposition 10.

For all v_i, v_j, and $v_k \in V(\Gamma)$, let $\mathcal{O}_k$, $\mathcal{O}_k^i$, and $\mathcal{O}_k^{i,j}$ denote the orbits of v_k under the actions of D_{pq}, $\mathrm{stab}(v_i)$, and $\mathrm{stab}(v_i, v_j)$, respectively. Suppose $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is a minimal base for the action of D_{pq} on Γ.

Step 1: For all $v_i \in V(\Gamma)$, $|\mathcal{O}_i| \leq q$.

Suppose by way of contradiction that there exists $v_i \in V(\Gamma)$ such that $|\mathcal{O}_i| > q$. Since $|D_{pq}| = 2pq$, $|\mathcal{O}_i| = 2pq, pq, 2p$, or $2q$. If $|\mathcal{O}_i| = 2pq$ then by the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem $|\mathrm{stab}(v_i)| = 1$ which makes $\{v_i\}$ a minimum size base, and we arrive at a contradiction. If $|\mathcal{O}_i| = pq, 2p$, or $2q$, then $|\mathrm{stab}(v_i)|$ is prime. Furthermore, since the action of D_{pq} is faithful, there exists a vertex v_k not fixed by $\mathrm{stab}(v_i)$. Thus, $|\mathcal{O}_k^i|$ divides $|\mathrm{stab}(v_i)|$ and is not equal to one, which implies $|\mathcal{O}_k^i| = |\mathrm{stab}(v_i)|$ and $|\mathrm{stab}(v_i, v_k)| = 1$ making $\{v_i, v_k\}$ a minimum size base.

Step 2: $|\mathcal{O}_1|, |\mathcal{O}_2|$, and $|\mathcal{O}_3|$ are not all strictly less than q. Thus X is non-empty and h has order 2.

Since $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is a base, $|\operatorname{stab}(v_1, v_2, v_3)| = 1$. By the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem

$$2pq = |D_{pq}| = |\mathcal{O}_1||\operatorname{stab}(v_1)| = |\mathcal{O}_1||\mathcal{O}_2^1||\operatorname{stab}(v_1, v_2)| = |\mathcal{O}_1||\mathcal{O}_2^1||\mathcal{O}_3^{1,2}|.$$

Since Γ has determining number 3, one of $|\mathcal{O}_1|, |\mathcal{O}_2^1|$, and $|\mathcal{O}_3^{1,2}|$ must be equal to q. Furthermore, for all i, j, k, $|\mathcal{O}_k^{i,j}| \leq |\mathcal{O}_k^i| \leq |\mathcal{O}_k|$. Thus $|\mathcal{O}_1|, |\mathcal{O}_2|$, and $|\mathcal{O}_3|$ cannot all be strictly less than q. Therefore, with Step 1, we have at least one orbit of size q. By Proposition 10, it follows that X is nonempty and therefore h has order 2.

Step 3: $h \in \mathbf{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

By construction, h acts as $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ on orbits of size q, and as the identity on all other orbits. Thus to show $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$, we need only verify that h preserves edges between vertices in orbits of size q and vertices in all other orbits. Recall that by Step 1, if the determining number of Γ is 3, all orbits are of size q or less. Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_q\}$ and $\{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$ be orbits under the action of D_{pq} on Γ, with $k < q$. We prove that if $x_i \sim y_j$ for any $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$ then $x_i \sim y_j$ for all $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$.

Assume without loss of generality that $x_1 \sim y_1$. By definition of orbit, for $1 \leq j \leq k$ there exists an element $g_j \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that $y_j = g_j(y_1)$. Furthermore, since g_j is an automorphism of Γ, $y_j = g_j(y_1) \sim g_j(x_1) = x_l$ for some $x_l \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_q\}$. Thus, if a vertex in orbit $\{x_1, \ldots, x_q\}$ is adjacent to a vertex in orbit $\{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$, every vertex in orbit $\{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$ is adjacent to some vertex in orbit $\{x_1, \ldots, x_q\}$.

To complete the proof, we show that if $y_j \in \{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$ is adjacent to any vertex in $\{x_1, \ldots, x_q\}$, then it is adjacent to every vertex in $\{x_1, \ldots, x_q\}$. Let $g \in D_{pq}$ be an element of order q. Then by Lemma 8, vertices x_1, x_2, …, x_q appear as a q-cycle in the permutation representation of g. Assume without loss of generality that $x_1 \sim y_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$. Since g is an automorphism of Γ, $x_2 = g(x_1) \sim g(y_j)$. Furthermore, by Lemma 9, since k is less than the prime order of g, we know g fixes elements in the orbit $\{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$. Thus $x_2 = g(x_1) \sim g(y_j) = y_j$. Similarly $x_i \sim y_j$ for all $1 \leq i \leq q$.

Thus, since h acts as the identity on orbits of size other than q, preserves edges within orbits of size q, and between orbits of size q and orbits of all other sizes there are either no edges, or all possible edges, $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

□

Corollary 12. *For distinct odd primes p and q, $\mathcal{B}(D_{pq}) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\mathcal{D}(D_{pq}) = \{1, 2\}$.*

5 Open Questions

We conclude with a list of open questions.

1. In Section 4 we characterized the base size set and determining set of D_n where n has the form p^k, $2p^k$, or pq for distinct odd primes p and q, finding $\mathcal{D}(D_n) = \mathcal{B}(D_n)$ except in the case $n = pq$. Which properties of n determine whether $\mathcal{D}(D_n) = \mathcal{B}(D_n)$? What are the base size sets and determining sets of the remaining dihedral groups?

2. In Section 3 we showed that $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}) = \{1\}$, though the length of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$ is α. Similarly, the standard action of S_n as permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ has base size $n-1$, but the length of S_n is n for $n = 6, 7$ and greater than n for $n \geq 8$ [7, 9]. Do there exist base sizes of S_n larger than n? More generally, for which groups G does there exist an action with base size equal to the length of G?

3. Are there groups G for which $\mathcal{B}(G)$ and $\mathcal{D}(G)$ are arbitrarily far apart?

4. The known base size sets and determining sets have all been of the form $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Is this always true, or do there exist groups for which $|\mathcal{B}(G)|$ or $|\mathcal{D}(G)|$ is smaller than its largest element?

5. Given the base size sets and determining sets of two groups, what is the base size set and determining set of their direct product or semi-direct product?

References

[1] Robert F. Bailey and Peter J. Cameron. Base size, metric dimension and other invariants of groups and graphs. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 43(2):209–242, 2011.

[2] Debra Boutin. Identifying graph automorphisms using determining sets. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 13(1):Research Paper 78, approx. 14 pp. (electronic), 2006.

[3] Debra L. Boutin. The determining number of a Cartesian product. *J. Graph Theory*, 61(2):77–87, 2009.

[4] Timothy C. Burness. On base sizes for actions of finite classical groups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 75(3):545–562, 2007.

[5] Timothy C. Burness, Martin W. Liebeck, and Aner Shalev. Base sizes for simple groups and a conjecture of Cameron. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 98(1):116–162, 2009.

[6] José Cáceres, Delia Garijo, Antonio González, Alberto Márquez, and María Luz Puertas. The determining number of Kneser graphs. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 15(1):1–14, 2013.

[7] Peter J. Cameron, Ron Solomon, and Alexandre Turull. Chains of subgroups in symmetric groups. *J. Algebra*, 127(2):340–352, 1989.

[8] David Erwin and Frank Harary. Destroying automorphisms by fixing nodes. *Discrete Math.*, 306(24):3244–3252, 2006.

[9] Courtney R. Gibbons and Joshua D. Laison. Fixing numbers of graphs and groups. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 16(1):Research Paper 39, 13, 2009.

[10] Zoltán Halasi. On the base size for the symmetric group acting on subsets. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 49(4):492–500, 2012.

[11] Martin W. Liebeck. On minimal degrees and base sizes of primitive permutation groups. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 43(1):11–15, 1984.

[12] Martin W. Liebeck and Aner Shalev. Simple groups, permutation groups, and probability. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(2):497–520, 1999.

[13] Geoffrey R. Robinson. On the base size and rank of a primitive permutation group. *J. Algebra*, 187(1):320–321, 1997.

[14] Ákos Seress. The minimal base size of primitive solvable permutation groups. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 53(2):243–255, 1996.